

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. 09/415,507	10/08/1000	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR MUKESH DALAL	020431.0562	CONFIRMATION NO. 4917	
	7590	09/12/2002		EVAM	INER

7590

BAKER & BOTTS L L P 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 752012980

EXAMINER BACHNER, REBECCA M.

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3623

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/415,507	DALAL, MUKESH	M
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca M Bachner	3623	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 8/23/2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with,37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
	S	TARIQ R. HAI UPERVISORY PATENT	· ,
Patent and Trademark Office		TECHNIOLOGY CEM	PD 2200

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Part of Paper No. 9

Application/Control Number: 09/415,507

_ Art Unit: 3623

Advisory Action

The applicant argues that Blanchard et al. do not teach receiving a promise identifying a culprit as taught in claims 1, 11, 44, and 46.

However, the textbook written by Blanchard et al. discloses an optimization problem with limited constraints. On pages 231- 236, Blanchard et al. teach the identification of the most limiting constraint, or culprit. The idea of limited or constrained resources is old and well known in the art. The culprit is merely the resource that limits the other resources. Identifying the culprit is also old and well known in the art. One managing a workflow system would want to know and identify the limiting or constrained resource.

Kennedy et al. teach receiving a promise in column 4, lines 41-46 and the negotiations that occur between the buyer and the seller for determining those promises. Kennedy et al.'s buyers and sellers reach optimal promises.

Blanchard et al. disclose identifying a culprit. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have Kennedy et al. to determine the constraints on optimization as taught by Blanchard et al. since the buyers and sellers want to optimize the exchange of limited resources.

Application/Control Number: 09/415,507

, Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rebecca Bachner** whose telephone number is 703-305-1872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Tariq Hafiz** can be reached on (703)305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"

(703) 746-7306

Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED"

or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

RMB RMB

September 9, 2002

TARIO R. HAFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600